

SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 09/30/08
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Salt Lake County Consortium

State: UT

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$27,131,261

PJ's Size Grouping*: B

PJ Since (FY): 1992

| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):* | | |
|--|----------|---------------|------------|---------------|------------------------------|-------------|---------|
| | | | | | Group | B | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | | PJs in State: | 4 | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 94.65 % | 96.78 % | 2 | 94.94 % | 53 | 50 | |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 85.47 % | 88.23 % | 4 | 84.35 % | 41 | 43 | |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 11.86 | 9.63 | 1 | 4.59 | 100 | 100 | |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 94.65 % | 92.07 % | 3 | 81.38 % | 58 | 49 | |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 82.58 % | 65.66 % | 1 | 68.05 % | 78 | 74 | |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 90.65 % | 84.17 % | 3 | 79.65 % | 77 | 72 | |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 49.28 % | 49.24 % | 2 | 44.76 % | 60 | 57 | |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 97.84 % | 88.71 % | 3 | 94.31 % | 47 | 44 | |
| Overall Ranking: | | | | In State: | 2 / 4 | Nationally: | 84 |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units: | | | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$43,480 | \$23,120 | | \$25,245 | 278 Units | 18.30 % | |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$9,951 | \$12,078 | | \$14,395 | 650 Units | 42.80 % | |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$12,293 | \$16,175 | | \$20,186 | 124 Units | 8.20 % | |
| TBRA Unit | \$8,923 | \$3,370 | | \$3,142 | 466 Units | 30.70 % | |

* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (57 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (194 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (297 PJs)

** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

***- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Salt Lake County Consortium **UT**

Total Development Costs:
(average reported cost per unit in
HOME-assisted projects)

| | Rental | Homebuyer | Homeowner |
|--------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| PJ: | \$76,217 | \$111,049 | \$14,216 |
| State:* | \$66,593 | \$103,756 | \$23,850 |
| National:** | \$88,539 | \$71,594 | \$22,853 |

CHDO Operating Expenses:
(% of allocation)

PJ: 2.1 %
National Avg: 1.1 %

R.S. Means Cost Index: 0.81

| RACE: | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % |
|--|----------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| White: | 83.1 | 79.7 | 86.3 | 79.6 |
| Black/African American: | 3.2 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 3.0 |
| Asian: | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 1.3 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.4 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.2 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other Multi Racial: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 1.4 | 1.2 | 2.4 | 0.9 |

ETHNICITY:

| | | | | |
|----------|------|------|-----|------|
| Hispanic | 11.2 | 13.8 | 9.7 | 13.9 |
|----------|------|------|-----|------|

HOUSEHOLD SIZE:

| | | | | |
|--------------------|------|------|------|------|
| 1 Person: | 55.4 | 13.4 | 15.3 | 36.9 |
| 2 Persons: | 23.0 | 21.5 | 14.5 | 21.2 |
| 3 Persons: | 9.4 | 28.5 | 18.5 | 20.0 |
| 4 Persons: | 7.2 | 21.5 | 32.3 | 14.8 |
| 5 Persons: | 5.0 | 10.2 | 8.1 | 5.2 |
| 6 Persons: | 0.0 | 2.5 | 5.6 | 0.2 |
| 7 Persons: | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.5 |
| 8 or more Persons: | 0.0 | 0.9 | 4.0 | 0.2 |

HOUSEHOLD TYPE:

| | | | | |
|------------------------|------|------|------|------|
| Single/Non-Elderly: | 51.4 | 24.3 | 21.0 | 40.3 |
| Elderly: | 20.5 | 6.0 | 18.5 | 4.1 |
| Related/Single Parent: | 19.4 | 11.1 | 20.2 | 41.6 |
| Related/Two Parent: | 8.3 | 52.6 | 39.5 | 11.8 |
| Other: | 0.4 | 6.0 | 0.8 | 2.1 |

SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE:

| | | |
|----------------|------|------------------|
| Section 8: | 9.7 | 0.0 [#] |
| HOME TBRA: | 1.4 | |
| Other: | 20.1 | |
| No Assistance: | 68.7 | |

of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001 133

* The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

** The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.



**HOME PROGRAM
SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS**
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Salt Lake County Consortium

State: UT

Group Rank: 84
(Percentile)

State Rank: 2 / 4 PJs

Overall Rank: 84
(Percentile)

Summary: 0 / Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|-------------------------------------|---|------------|--------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 72.00% | 94.65 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 47.30% | 82.58 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME | < 70%** | 90.65 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 89.50% | 97.84 | |
| "ALLOCATION-YEARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | | > 3.060 | 2.15 | |

* This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs

** This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

*** Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

Page 3

